

THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PALM BEACH COUNTY

| ^ | _ | | 1 | 44- | -4 | Eval | 14 | |
|----|---|-----|-----|-----|----|------|------|-----|
| او | U | 115 | i u | Ild | ΠL | Eva | ıuaı | JOI |

| PONUMBER | • |
|----------|---|
| | |
| | |

| School/Department | Application Services | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Name of Consultant | Universal System Technologies | | | | | | | | |
| Contract Period From December 18, 2006 To June 30, 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| | or 4 - Satisfactory Plus 3 - S | Satisfactory | 2 - Satisfactory Minus | 1- Un | ısa | tisf | act | ory | , |
| JOB KNOWLEDGE A | | | | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | cedural know-how to complete the pro | oject | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2. Knowledge of his/h | | | | | _ | Ш | | | |
| Ingenuity, creativity General quality of t | | | | | | Ш | | | <u> </u> |
| | ne work performed | | | | | | ı | | Щ |
| PRODUCTIVITY | | | | | | | | | |
| | matched the specifications of the con- | tract | **** | | | | | | |
| 2. Results produced | | | - 100 | | | | | | |
| 3. Ability to meet goal | | 8-4- | | | Ш | | | | |
| 4. Success of the proj | ect | | · | | | | | | |
| COMMUNICATION | | **** | | | | | | | |
| Listening skills | | TTP4 | | | | | | | |
| | lls, follow-up information, etc. in a tim | ely manner | | | | | | | |
| 3. Overall communica | | | 7 | | | | | | |
| 4. Overall accessibility | //availability | | | | | | | | |
| INTERACTION | | | | | | | | | |
| Working relationshi | ps with teachers and/or students | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Ability to work as pa | art of a team | | | | | | | | |
| Status updates and | I information received as the project p | progressed | | | | | | | |
| Rating: A - Agree | D - Disagree N/A - Not | t Applicable | | | | | A | D | N/A |
| 1. Demonstrates depe | endability | | | | | \neg | <u></u> | _ | |
| 2. Demonstrates inge | nuity/creativity/innovation | | | | | \dashv | \dashv | | T |
| 3. Performs well unde | er pressure | | | | | | 7 | \exists | \neg |
| 4. Effective when pres | senting ideas orally | | Term. | | | ╅ | _ | \dashv | \neg |
| 5. Expresses ideas cl | early and uses correct grammar in wr | ritten communic | ation | | | \neg | | | |
| 6. Listens effectively | | | | | | | ĺ | | |
| 7. Provides feedback | in a constructive and timely manner | | | | | | | | コ |
| 8. Is self-reliant and re | equires little or no supervision | | | | | \Box | | | |
| 9. Treats staff and/or s | students with fairness, respect and int | tegrity. | *** | | | | | | |
| I would hire this consult | tant again. 🗌 Yes 🗎 No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SIGNATURE C | OF EVALUATOR | | DI | 4 <i>TE</i> | | | — |
| | | Lata Gunt | ur, Director, Application Se | ervices | | | | | |
| | | | OF EVALUATOR | | | | | | |